## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL**

Case No. Title	CV 22-04889-SF Farzaneh Khadiv	PG(GJSx) vi v. Merrick Garlar	nd et al	Date	March 23, 2023
Present: The Honorable SHERILYN PEACE GARNETT UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE					
P. Gomez			Not Reported		
Deputy Clerk			Court Reporter / Recorder		
Attorneys Present for Plaintiff:			Attorneys Present for Defendants:		
Not Present			Not Present		
Not i resent			71017 7000111		
Proceeding: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION					
Plaintiff(s) are <b>ORDERED</b> to show cause why this case should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. <i>Link v. Wabash R. Co.</i> , 370 U.S. 626 (1962) (Court has inherent power to dismiss for lack of prosecution on its own motion).					
The below time period(s) has not been met. Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, orders Plaintiff(s) to show cause, in writing, <b>on or before April 6, 2023,</b> why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. This matter will stand submitted upon the filing of Plaintiff(s) response. <i>See</i> Fed. R. Civ. P. 78. Failure to respond will be deemed consent to the dismissal of the action.					
The docket reflects that Plaintiff is not actively pursuing this matter. Plaintiff can satisfy this order by filing a Status Report, not to exceed 4 pages, setting forth the current status of the litigation.					
IT IS SO ORDERED.					
				_	:
Initials of Preparer _ pg					